



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.iispto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/677,116	09/29/2000	Andrew M. Volk	10559-162001/P8246	2027
20703	03/26/2003		EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON, PC 4350 LA JOLLA VILLAGE DRIVE SUITE 500			LUU, AN T	
SAN DIEGO,	CA 92122		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2816	
		•	DATE MAILED: 03/26/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Addition Action	09/677,116	VOLK ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	An T. Luu	2816				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress			
THE REPLY FILED 07 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	THIS APPLICATION IN CONDI avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wheal (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	TION FOR ALLOW ication. A proper re	ANCE. ply to a cation in			
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The descriptions	visory Action, or (2) the date set form in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The case which the potition under 37 CFR 1	HE FINAL REJECTION.	See MPEP			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of the distance of the distance of the final responding date of the final responding to the	n the final Office action; o ejection, even if timely filed	r (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appelland 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR)	t's Brief must be filed within the FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissa	period set forth in I of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or search	ı (see NOTE below)				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by m					
(d) \(\square\) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims	=					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	vs:					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-5,7-15,20-23 and 30</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>27-29</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>6,24-26</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure States	ment(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s	s). And 1/				
10. Other:		OTHY P. CALLAHAN				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Advisory Action

ECHNOLOGY OF Part of Paper No. 14

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/67,116



Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's argument for claim 6 is not persuasive. Recitation of claim 6 calls for a single-ended clock signal to be provided when the second channel of the input clock signal is a constant signal (1) above ground potential or (2) at ground potential; and specification of the instant application provides no possibility that there is a potential which is lower than ground. In other words, a single-ended signal is always seen regardless the level of the second channel. Therefore, claim 6 reads on the Quiet reference.